

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,)	Case No. 4-21-mj-71943 MAG
Plaintiff, v.))	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Larry Candies,)	AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1
Defendant(s).)	
	5, 2022 public and th	
Failure to grant a continuance See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)		ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature o or law, that it is unreasonable t	f the prosecuto expect ade	o [check applicable reasons] the number of ation, or the existence of novel questions of fact quate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial his section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
		the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
<u> </u>	commitmen	sonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, giver ts, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	tion, taking i	sonably deny the defendant the reasonable time nto account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the the time limits for a preliminar extending the 30-day time peri	he court sets parties' show y hearing un od for an ind	ng into account the public interest in the prompt the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first wing of good cause — finds good cause for extending der Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for action under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the m. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.		Down
DATED:_ January 11, 2022		
		Donna M. Ryu United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: /s/ Joyce Leavitt		/s/ Samantha Bennett
Attorney for Defenda	nt	Assistant United States Attorney